arXiv:1609.01646v1 [math.AP] 6 Sep 2016

STRONG SUMMABILITY OF TWO-DIMENSIONAL VILENKIN FOURIER SERIES

USHANGI GOGINAVA

Abstract. In this paper we study the exponential uniform strong summability of two-dimensional Vilenkin-Fourier series. In particular, it is proved that the two-dimensional Vilenkin-Fourier series of the continuous function f is uniformly strong summable to the function f exponentially in the power $1/2$. Moreover, it is proved that this result is best possible.

1. Introduction

It is known that there exist continuous functions the trigonometric (Walsh) Fourier series of which do not converge. However, as it was proved by Fejér [2] in 1905, the arithmetic means of the differences between the function and its Fourier partial sums converge uniformly to zero. The problem of strong summation was initiated by Hardy and Littlewood [16]. They generalized Fejér's result by showing that the strong means also converge uniformly to zero for any continous function. The investigation of the rate of convergence of the strong means was started by Alexits [1]. Many papers have been published which are closely related with strong approximation and summability. We note that a number of signficant results are due to Leindler [17, 18, 19], Totik [26, 27, 28], Gogoladze [9], Goginava, Gogoladze, Karagulyan [13]. Leindler has also published a monograph [20].

The results on strong summation and approximation of trigonometric Fourier series have been extended for several other orthogonal systems. For instance, concerning the Walsh system see Schipp [22, 23, 24], Fridli, Schipp [4, 5], Fridli [3], Rodin [21], Goginava, Gogoladze [12, 11], Gat, Goginava, Karagulyan [6, 7], Goginava, Gogoladze, Karagulyan [13] and concerning the Ciselski system see Weisz [29, 30]. The summability of multiple Walsh-Fourier series have been investigated in ([14], [15], [31])

Fridli and Schipp [5] proved that the following is true.

Theorem FS. *Let Φ stand for the trigonometric or the Walsh system, and let ψ be a monotonically increasing function defined on $[0,\infty)$ for which*

[0]2010 Mathematics Subject Classification 42C10 .

Key words and phrases: Vilenkin function, Best approximation, Strong Approximation.

The research was supported by Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation grant no.DI/9/5-100/13 (Function spaces, weighted inequalities for integral operators and problems of summability of Fourier series)

$\lim_{u\to 0+}\psi(u)=0$. *Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\psi\left(\left|S_k^{\Phi}f(x)-f(x)\right|\right)=0 \qquad (f\in C(G_2))$$

if and only if there exists $A>0$ *such that* $\psi(t)\leq\exp(At)$ $(0\leq t<\infty)$. *Moreover, the convergence is uniform in* x.

In this paper we study the exponential uniform strong summability of two-dimensional Vilenkin-Fourier series. In particular, it is proved that the two-dimensional Vilenkin-Fourier series of the continuous function f is uniformly strong summable to the function f exponentially in the power $1/2$. Moreover, it is proved that this result is best possible.

Let $\mathbb{N}_+$ denote the set of positive integers, $\mathbb{N}:=\mathbb{N}_+\cup\{0\}$. Let $m:=(m_0,m_1,...)$ denote a sequence of positive integers not less than 2. Denote by $Z_{m_k}:=\{0,1,...,m_k-1\}$ the additive group of integers modulo m_k. Define the group G_m as the complete direct product of the groups Z_{m_j}, with the product of the discrete topologies of Z_{m_j}'s. The direct product μ of the measures

$$\mu_k(\{j\}):=\frac{1}{m_k} \quad (j\in Z_{m_k})$$

is the Haar measure on G_m with $\mu(G_m)=1$. If the sequence m is bounded, then G_m is called a bounded Vilenkin group. The elements of G_m can be represented by sequences $x:=(x_0,x_1,...,x_j,...)$, $(x_j\in Z_{m_j})$. The group operation $+$ in G_m is given by $x+y=(x_0+y_0\,(\mathrm{mod}m_0)\,,...,x_k+y_k\,(\mathrm{mod}m_k)\,,...)$, where $x=(x_0,...,x_k,...)$ and $y=(y_0,...,y_k,...)\in G_m$. The inverse of $+$ will be denoted by $-$.

It is easy to give a base for the neighborhoods of G_m :

$$I_0(x):=G_m,$$

$$I_n(x):=\{y\in G_m|y_0=x_0,...,y_{n-1}=x_{n-1}\}$$

for $x\in G_m$, $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Define $I_n:=I_n(0)$ for $n\in\mathbb{N}_+$. Set $e_n:=(0,...,0,1,0,...)\in G_m$ the n th coordinate of which is 1 and the rest are zeros $(n\in\mathbb{N})$.

If we define the so-called generalized number system based on m in the following way: $M_0:=1, M_{k+1}:=m_kM_k(k\in\mathbb{N})$, then every $n\in\mathbb{N}$ can be uniquely expressed as $n=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}n_jM_j$, where $n_j\in Z_{m_j}$ $(j\in\mathbb{N}_+)$ and only a finite number of n_j's differ from zero. We use the following notation. Let (for $n>0$) $|n|:=\max\{k\in\mathbb{N}:n_k\neq 0\}$ (that is, $M_{|n|}\leq n<M_{|n|+1}$).

Next, we introduce on G_m an orthonormal system which is called the Vilenkin system. At first define the complex valued functions $r_k(x):G_m\to\mathbb{C}$, the generalized Rademacher functions in this way

$$r_k(x):=\exp\frac{2\pi\imath x_k}{m_k} \ (\imath^2=-1,\ x\in G_m,\ k\in\mathbb{N}).$$

Now define the Vilenkin system $\psi := (\psi_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ on G_m as follows.

$$\psi_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} r_k^{n_k}(x) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Specifically, we call this system the Walsh-Paley one if $m \equiv 2$.
The Vilenkin system is orthonormal and complete in $L_1(G_m)$. It is well-known that $\psi_n(x)\psi_n(y) = \psi_n(x+y)$, $|\psi_n(x)| = 1\,(k, n \in \mathbb{N})$, $\psi_n(-x) = \overline{\psi}_n(x)$ [25].
Now, introduce analogues of the usual definitions of the Fourier analysis. If $f \in L_1(G_m)$ we can establish the following definitions in the usual way:
Fourier coefficients:

$$\widehat{f}(k) := \int_{G_m} f\overline{\psi}_k d\mu \qquad (k \in \mathbb{N}),$$

partial sums:

$$S_n f := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \widehat{f}(k)\psi_k \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N}_+,\ S_0 f := 0).$$

Dirichlet kernels:

$$D_n := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \psi_k \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N}_+).$$

Recall that

$$D_{M_n}(x) = \begin{cases} M_n, & \text{if } x \in I_n, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in G_m \backslash I_n. \end{cases} , \tag{1}$$

$$D_n(x) = \psi_n(x) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} D_{M_j}(x) \sum_{q=m_j-n_j}^{m_j-1} r_j^q(x), \quad (f \in L_1(G_m), n \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{2}$$

It is well known that

$$\sigma_n(f;x) = \int_{G_m} f(t) K_n(x-t)\, d\mu(t).$$

Next, we introduce some notation with respect to the theory of two-dimensional Vilenkin system. Let us fix $d \geq 1, d \in \mathbb{N}_+$. For Vilenkin group G_m let G_m^d be its Cartesian product $G_m \times \cdots \times G_m$ taken with itself d-times. Denote by μ the product measure $\mu \times \cdots \times \mu$. The rectangular partial sums of the two-dimensional Vilenkin-Fourier series are defined as follows:

$$S_{M,N}(f;x,y) := \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \widehat{f}(i,j)\, \psi_i(x)\, \psi_j(y),$$

where the number

$$\widehat{f}(i,j) = \int_{G_m \times G_m} f(x,y)\, \overline{\psi}_i(x)\, \overline{\psi}_j(y)\, d\mu(x,y).$$

is said to be the (i,j)th Vilenkin-Fourier coefficient of the function f.

Denote

$$S_n^{(1)}(f;x,y) := \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \widehat{f}(l,y)\,\psi_l(x),$$

$$S_m^{(2)}(f;x,y) := \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \widehat{f}(x,r)\,\psi_r(y),$$

where

$$\widehat{f}(l,y) = \int_{G_m} f(x,y)\,\psi_l(x)\,d\mu(x)$$

and

$$\widehat{f}(x,r) = \int_{G_m} f(x,y)\,\psi_r(y)\,d\mu(y).$$

2. Best Approximation

Denote by $E_{lr}(f)$ the best approximation of a function $f \in C\left(G_m^2\right)$ by Vilenkin polynomials of degree $\leq l$ of a variable x and of degree $\leq r$ of a variable y and let $E_l^{(1)}(f)$ be the partial best approximation of a a function $f \in C\left(G_m^2\right)$ by Vilenkin polynomials of degree $\leq l$ of a variable x, whose coefficients are continuous functions of the remaining variable y. Analogously, we can define $E_r^{(2)}(f)$.

Let $M_L \leq l < M_{L+1}, M_R \leq r < M_{R+1}$ and $E_{M_L,M_R}(f) := \|f - T_{M_L,M_R}\|_C$, where T_{M_L,M_R} is Vilenkin polynomial of best approximation of function f. Since (see (1))

$$\|S_{M_L,M_R}(f)\|_C \leq \|f\|_C,$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
(3)\qquad & |S_{lr}(f;x,y) - f(x,y)| \\
\leq\ & |S_{lr}(f - S_{M_L,M_R}(f);x,y)| + \|S_{M_L,M_R}(f) - f\|_C \\
\leq\ & |S_{lr}(f - S_{M_L,M_R}(f);x,y)| + \|S_{M_L,M_R}(f - T_{M_L,M_R})\|_C \\
& + \|f - T_{M_L,M_R} f\|_C \\
\leq\ & |S_{lr}(f - S_{M_L,M_R}(f);x,y)| + 2E_{M_L,M_R}(f).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we prove that the following inequality holds

$$E_{M_L,M_R}(f) \leq 2E_{M_L}^{(1)}(f) + 2E_{M_R}^{(2)}(f). \tag{4}$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(5)\quad E_{M_L,M_R}(f) &\leq \|f - S_{M_L,M_R}(f)\|_C = \left\|f - S_{M_L}^{(1)}\left(S_{M_R}^{(2)}(f)\right)\right\|_C \\
&\leq \left\|f - S_{M_L}^{(1)}(f)\right\|_C + \left\|S_{M_L}^{(1)}\left(S_{M_R}^{(2)}(f) - f\right)\right\|_C \\
&\leq \left\|f - S_{M_L}^{(1)}(f)\right\|_C + \left\|S_{M_R}^{(2)}(f) - f\right\|_C.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $T_{M_L}^{(1)}(x,y)$ be a polynomial of the best approximation $E_{M_L}^{(1)}(f)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|S_{M_L}^{(1)}(f)-f\right\|_C &\leq \left\|f-T_{M_L}^{(1)}\right\|_C+\left\|S_{M_L}^{(1)}\left(f-T_{M_L}^{(1)}\right)\right\|_C \\ &\leq 2\left\|f-T_{M_L}^{(1)}\right\|_C=2E_{M_L}^{(1)}(f).\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Analogously, we can prove that

$$\left\|S_{M_R}^{(2)}(f)-f\right\|_C\leq 2E_{M_R}^{(2)}(f). \tag{7}$$

Combining (5)-(7) we obtain (4).

It is easy to show that

$$\|f-S_{M_LM_R}(f)\|_C\leq 2E_{M_L,M_R}(f). \tag{8}$$

3. Main results

Theorem 1. *Let $f\in C\left(G_m^2\right)$. Then the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\frac{1}{nm}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{r=1}^{m}\left(e^{A|S_{lr}(f)-f|^{1/2}}-1\right)\right\|_C \\ \leq\ &\frac{c(f,A)}{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sqrt{E_l^{(1)}(f)}+\frac{c(f,A)}{m}\sum_{r=1}^{m}\sqrt{E_r^{(2)}(f)}\end{aligned}$$

is satisfied for any $A>0$, where $c(f,A)$ is a positive constant depend on A and f.

We say that the function ψ belongs to the class Ψ if it increase on $[0,+\infty)$ and

$$\lim_{u\to 0}\psi(u)=\psi(0)=0.$$

Theorem 2. *a)Let $\varphi\in\Psi$ and let the inequality*

$$\overline{\lim_{u\to\infty}}\frac{\varphi(u)}{\sqrt{u}}<\infty \tag{9}$$

hold. Then for any function $f\in C\left(G_m^2\right)$ the equality

$$\lim_{n,m\to\infty}\left\|\frac{1}{nm}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{r=1}^{m}\left(e^{\varphi(|S_{lr}(f)-f|)}-1\right)\right\|_C=0;$$

is satisfied.

b) For any function $\varphi\in\Psi$ satisfying the condition

$$\overline{\lim_{u\to\infty}}\frac{\varphi(u)}{\sqrt{u}}=\infty \tag{10}$$

there exists a function $F\in C\left(G_m^2\right)$ such that

$$\overline{\lim_{m\to\infty}}\frac{1}{m^2}\sum_{l=1}^{m}\sum_{r=1}^{m}e^{\varphi(|S_{lr}(F;0,0)-F(0,0)|)}=+\infty.$$

4. Auxiliary Results

Lemma 1. *(Glukhov [8]) Let $p \in \mathbb{N}_+$. Then*

$$\sup_n \left(\int_{G_m^p} \frac{1}{M_n} \left| \sum_{l=M_n}^{M_{n+1}-1} \prod_{k=1}^{p} D_l(s_k) \right| d\mu(s_1,...,s_p) \right)^{1/p} \leq cp.$$

Lemma 2. *(Gogoladze [9]) Let $\varphi, \psi \in \Psi$ and the equality*

$$\lim_{n,m\to\infty} \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{r=1}^{m} \psi\left(|S_{lr}(f;x,y) - f(x,y)|\right) = 0$$

be satisfied at the point (x_0, y_0) or uniformly on a set $E \subset I^2$. If

$$\overline{\lim_{u\to\infty}} \frac{\varphi(u)}{\psi(u)} < \infty,$$

then the equality

$$\lim_{n,m\to\infty} \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{r=1}^{m} \varphi\left(|S_{lr}(f;x,y) - f(x,y)|\right) = 0$$

is satisfied at the point (x_0, y_0) or uniformly on a set $E \subset I^2$.

Lemma 3. *Let $p > 0$, $A, B \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{M_A M_B} \sum_{n=M_A}^{M_{A+1}-1} \sum_{l=M_B}^{M_{B+1}-1} |S_{nl}(f;x,y)|^p \right\}^{1/p} \leq c \|f\|_C (p+1)^2. \tag{11}$$

Proof of Lemma 3. Since

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{M_A M_B} \sum_{n=M_A}^{M_{A+1}-1} \sum_{l=M_B}^{M_{B+1}-1} |S_{nl}(f;x,y)|^p \right\}^{1/p}$$

$$\leq \left\{ \frac{1}{M_A M_B} \sum_{n=M_A}^{M_{A+1}-1} \sum_{l=M_B}^{M_{B+1}-1} |S_{nl}(f;x,y)|^{p+1} \right\}^{1/(p+1)}$$

without lost of generality we can suppose that $p = 2^m, m \in \mathbb{N}_+$. We can write

$$|S_{nl}(f;x,y)|^2 = S_{nl}(f;x,y)\, \overline{S}_{nl}(f;x,y)$$

$$= \int_{G_m^2} f(x-s_1, y-t_1) D_n(s_1) D_l(t_1)\, d\mu(s_1,t_1)$$

$$\times \int_{G_m^2} \overline{f}(x-s_2, y-t_2) \overline{D}_n(s_2) \overline{D}_l(t_2)\, d\mu(s_2,t_2)$$

$$= \int_{G_m^2} f(x-s_1, y-t_1) D_n(s_1) D_l(t_1) \, d\mu(s_1, t_1)$$
$$\times \int_{G_m^2} \overline{f}(x+s_2, y+t_2) D_n(s_2) D_l(t_2) \, d\mu(s_2, t_2)$$
$$= \int_{G_m^4} f(x-s_1, y-t_1) \overline{f}(x+s_2, y+t_2)$$
$$\times D_n(s_1) D_n(s_2) D_l(t_1) D_l(t_2) \, d\mu(s_1, t_1, s_2, t_2).$$

Hence, we get

$$|S_{nl}(f; x, y)|^p = \left(|S_{nl}(f; x, y)|^2\right)^{p/2}$$
$$= \left(\int_{G_m^4} f(x-s_1, y-t_1) \overline{f}(x+s_2, y+t_2) \right.$$
$$\left. \times D_n(s_1) D_n(s_2) D_l(t_1) D_l(t_2) \, d\mu(s_1, t_1, s_2, t_2) \right)^{p/2}.$$
$$= \int_{G_m^{2p}} \prod_{k=1}^{p/2} f(x-s_{2k-1}, y-t_{2k-1}) \prod_{r=1}^{p/2} \overline{f}(x+s_{2r}, y+t_{2r})$$
$$\times \prod_{i=1}^{p} D_n(s_i) \prod_{j=1}^{p} D_l(t_j) \, d\mu(s_1, t_1, ..., s_p, t_p),$$
$$\left\{ \frac{1}{M_A M_B} \sum_{n=M_A}^{M_{A+1}-1} \sum_{l=M_B}^{M_{B+1}-1} |S_{nl}(f; x, y)|^p \right\}^{1/p}$$
$$\leq \left(\int_{G_m^{2p}} \prod_{k=1}^{p/2} |f(x-s_{2k-1}, y-t_{2k-1})| \prod_{r=1}^{p/2} \left|\overline{f}(x+s_{2r}, y+t_{2r})\right| \right.$$
$$\left. \times \frac{1}{M_A M_B} \left| \sum_{n=M_A}^{M_{A+1}-1} \sum_{l=M_B}^{M_{B+1}-1} \prod_{i=1}^{p} D_n(s_i) \prod_{j=1}^{p} D_l(t_j) \right| d\mu(s_1, t_1, ..., s_p, t_p) \right)^{1/p}$$
$$\leq \|f\|_C \left(\int_{G_m^p} \frac{1}{M_A} \left| \sum_{n=M_A}^{M_{A+1}-1} \prod_{i=1}^{p} D_n(s_i) \right| d\mu(s_1, ..., s_p) \right)^{1/p}$$
$$\times \left(\int_{G_m^p} \frac{1}{M_B} \left| \sum_{l=M_B}^{M_{B+1}-1} \prod_{j=1}^{p} D_l(t_j) \right| d\mu(t_1, ..., t_p) \right)^{1/p}$$
$$\leq cp^2 \|f\|_C.$$

Lemma 3 is proved. □

Lemma 4. *Let $f \in C\left(G_m^2\right)$ and $p > 0$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{nk}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{r=1}^{k}\left|S_{lr}\left(f;x,y\right)-f\left(x,y\right)\right|^p \tag{12}$$
$$\leq \quad c^p\cdot(p+1)^{2p}\left\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(E_l^{(1)}\left(f\right)\right)^p+\frac{1}{k}\sum_{r=1}^{k}\left(E_r^{(2)}\left(f\right)\right)^p\right\}.$$

Proof of Lemma 4. Since

$$(a+b)^\beta \leq 2^\beta\left(a^\beta+b^\beta\right),\beta>0$$

from (3), (4), (8) and using Lemma 3 we get

$$\frac{1}{M_AM_B}\sum_{n=M_A}^{M_{A+1}-1}\sum_{l=M_B}^{M_{B+1}-1}\left|S_{nl}\left(f;x,y\right)-f\left(x,y\right)\right|^p \tag{13}$$
$$\leq \frac{2^p}{M_AM_B}\sum_{n=M_A}^{M_{A+1}-1}\sum_{l=M_B}^{M_{B+1}-1}\left|S_{nl}\left(f-S_{M_AM_B}\left(f\right);x,y\right)\right|^p$$
$$+\frac{2^{2p}}{M_AM_B}\left(M_{A+1}-M_A\right)\left(M_{B+1}-M_B\right)E_{M_AM_B}^p\left(f\right)$$
$$\leq c^p\left(p+1\right)^{2p}\left\|f-S_{M_AM_B}\left(f\right)\right\|_C^p$$
$$+c^p\left(\left(E_{M_A}^{(1)}\left(f\right)\right)^p+\left(E_{M_B}^{(2)}\left(f\right)\right)^p\right)$$
$$\leq c^p\left(p+1\right)^{2p}\left(\left(E_{M_A}^{(1)}\left(f\right)\right)^p+\left(E_{M_B}^{(2)}\left(f\right)\right)^p\right).$$

Let $M_L \leq n < M_{L+1}$ and $M_R \leq k < M_{R+1}$. Then from (13) we have

$$\frac{1}{nk}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{r=1}^{k}\left|S_{lr}\left(f;x,y\right)-f\left(x,y\right)\right|^p$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{nk}\sum_{l=1}^{M_{L+1}-1}\sum_{r=1}^{M_{R+1}-1}\left|S_{lr}\left(f;x,y\right)-f\left(x,y\right)\right|^p$$
$$=\frac{1}{nk}\sum_{A=0}^{L}\sum_{B=0}^{R}\sum_{l=M_A}^{M_{A+1}-1}\sum_{r=M_B}^{M_{B+1}-1}\left|S_{lr}\left(f;x,y\right)-f\left(x,y\right)\right|^p$$
$$\leq \frac{c^p\left(p+1\right)^{2p}}{nk}M_AM_B\sum_{A=0}^{L}\sum_{B=0}^{R}\left(\left(E_{M_A}^{(1)}\left(f\right)\right)^p+\left(E_{M_b}^{(2)}\left(f\right)\right)^p\right)$$
$$\leq \frac{c^p\left(p+1\right)^{2p}}{nk}\sum_{A=0}^{L}\sum_{B=0}^{R}\sum_{l=M_{A-1}}^{M_A-1}\sum_{r=M_{B-1}}^{M_B-1}\left(\left(E_{M_A}^{(1)}\left(f\right)\right)^p+\left(E_{M_B}^{(2)}\left(f\right)\right)^p\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{c^p\,(p+1)^{2p}}{nk}\sum_{A=0}^{L}\sum_{B=0}^{R}\sum_{l=M_{A-1}}^{M_A-1}\sum_{r=M_{B-1}}^{M_B-1}\left(\left(E_l^{(1)}\,(f)\right)^p+\left(E_r^{(2)}\,(f)\right)^p\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{c^p\,(p+1)^{2p}}{nk}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{r=1}^{k}\left(\left(E_l^{(1)}\,(f)\right)^p+\left(E_r^{(2)}\,(f)\right)^p\right)$$

$$\leq c^p\cdot(p+1)^{2p}\left\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(E_l^{(1)}\,(f)\right)^p+\frac{1}{k}\sum_{r=1}^{k}\left(E_r^{(2)}\,(f)\right)^p\right\}.$$

Lemma 4 is proved. □

5. Proofs of Main Results

The Walsh-Paley version of Theorem 1 were proved in [12]. Based on inequality (12) the same construction works for the Vilenkin case. Therefore the proof of Theorem 1 will be omitted.

Proof of Theorem 2. a) It is easy to see that if $\varphi\in\Psi$, then $e^{\varphi}-1\in\Psi$. Besides, (9) implies the existence of a number A such that

$$\overline{\lim_{u\to\infty}}\frac{e^{\varphi(u)}-1}{e^{Au^{1/2}}-1}<\infty.$$

Therefore, in view of Lemma 2, for the proof of Theorem 2 it is sufficient to prove that

$$\lim_{n,m\to\infty}\left\|\frac{1}{nm}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{r=1}^{m}\left(e^{A|S_{lr}(f)-f|^{1/2}}-1\right)\right\|_C=0. \tag{14}$$

The validity of equality of (14) immediately follows from Theorem 1.

b)First of all let us prove the validity of point b) in the one-dimensional case. In particular, prove that if $\psi\in\Psi$ and

$$\overline{\lim_{u\to\infty}}\frac{\psi\,(u)}{u}=\infty$$

there exists a function $f\in C\,(G_m)$ such that

$$\overline{\lim_{m\to\infty}}\frac{1}{m}\sum_{l=1}^{m}\left(e^{\psi(|S_l(f;0)-f(0)|)}\right)=+\infty. \tag{15}$$

Let $\{B_k:k\geq 1\}$ be an increasing sequence of positive integers such that

$$B_j>2B_{j-1}, \tag{16}$$

$$\frac{\psi\,(B_j)}{B_j}>\frac{5j\ln a}{c'}\ , \tag{17}$$

where c' will be define below and $a:=\sup\limits_j m_j$.

Set

$$A_j:=\left[\frac{j}{c'}B_j\right]+1$$

and

$$N_{A_j}:=\sum_{k=A_{j-1}}^{A_j-1}\left[\frac{m_{2k}}{2}\right]M_{2k},$$

then it is easy to see that

$$N_{A_k}\leq a^{2A_k}. \tag{18}$$

Set

$$f_j(x):=\frac{1}{j+1}\sum_{s=A_{j-1}}^{A_j-1}\sum_{x_{2s+1}=0}^{m_{2s+1}-1}\cdots\sum_{x_{2A_j-1}=0}^{m_{2A_j}-1}\exp\left(-i\arg\left(\overline{D}_{N_{A_j}}(x)\right)\right)$$
$$\times\mathbb{I}_{I_{2A_j}\left(0,\ldots,0,x_{2s}=m_{2s}-1,x_{2s+1},\ldots,x_{2A_j-1}\right)}(x),$$
$$f(x):=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}f_j(x),\ f(0)=0,$$

where $\mathbb{I}_E$ is characteristic function of the set $E\subset G_m$.

It is easy to see that $f\in C(G_m)$.

We can write

$$\begin{aligned}\left|S_{N_{A_k}}(f;0)-f(0)\right|&=\left|S_{N_{A_k}}(f;0)\right|\\&=\left|\int_{G_m}f(t)\,\overline{D}_{N_{A_k}}(t)\,d\mu(t)\right|\\&\geq\left|\int_{G_m}f_k(t)\,\overline{D}_{N_{A_k}}(t)\,d\mu(t)\right|\\&-\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty}\left|\int_{G_m}f_j(t)\,\overline{D}_{N_{A_k}}(t)\,d\mu(t)\right|\\&-\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left|\int_{G_m}f_j(t)\,\overline{D}_{N_{A_k}}(t)\,d\mu(t)\right|\\&=J_1-J_2-J_3.\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

From the definition of the function f we have

$$J_1=\frac{1}{k+1}\left|\sum_{s=A_{k-1}}^{A_k-1}\sum_{t_{2s+1}=0}^{m_{2s+1}-1}\cdots\sum_{t_{2A_k-1}=0}^{m_{2A_k}-1}\right.$$
$$\left.\int_{I_{2A_k}\left(0,\ldots,0,t_{2s}=m_{2s}-1,t_{2s+1},\ldots,t_{2A_k-1}\right)}\exp\left(-i\arg\left(\overline{D}_{N_{A_k}}(t)\right)\right)\overline{D}_{N_{A_k}}(t)\,d\mu(t)\right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{s=A_{k-1}}^{A_k-1} \sum_{t_{2s+1}=0}^{m_{2s+1}-1} \cdots \sum_{t_{2A_k-1}=0}^{m_{2A_k}-1}$$

$$\int_{I_{2A_k}(0,...,0,t_{2s}=m_{2s}-1,t_{2s+1},...,t_{2A_k-1})} \left|D_{N_{A_k}}(t)\right| d\mu(t).$$

Since (see [10])

$$\left|D_{N_{A_k}}(t)\right| \geq cM_{2s}$$

for

$$t \in I_{2s+1}(0,...,0,t_{2s}=m_{2s}-1), s = A_{k-1},...,A_k-1$$

from (16) we cam write

(20)

$$J_1 \geq \frac{c}{k} \sum_{s=A_{k-1}}^{A_k-1} M_{2s} \sum_{t_{2s+1}=0}^{m_{2s+1}-1} \cdots \sum_{t_{2A_k-1}=0}^{m_{2A_k}-1} \mu\left(I_{2A_k}(0,...,0,t_{2s}=m_{2s}-1,t_{2s+1},...,t_{2A_k-1})\right)$$

$$\geq \frac{c}{k}(A_k - A_{k-1}) \geq \frac{c_0 A_k}{k}.$$

For J_2 we have

$$J_2 \leq \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j+1} \sum_{s=A_{j-1}}^{A_j-1} \frac{1}{M_{2s}} N_{A_k} \tag{21}$$

$$\leq \frac{c}{k} \sum_{s=A_k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{M_{2s}} N_{A_k} \leq \frac{c}{k}.$$

By (2) and from the construction of the function f_j we can write

$$\operatorname{supp}(f_j) \cap \operatorname{supp}\left(D_{N_{A_k}}\right) = \varnothing, j = 1,2,...,k-1$$

consequently

$$J_3 = 0. \tag{22}$$

Combining (17), (19)-(22) we conclude that

$$\left|S_{N_{A_k}}(f;0)\right| = \left|S_{N_{A_k}}(f;0) - f(0)\right| \geq \frac{c' A_k}{k} \geq B_k,$$

$$\psi\left(\left|S_{N_{A_k}}(f;0)\right|\right) \geq \psi(B_k) \geq \frac{5k\ln a}{c'} B_k \geq 5(A_k - 1)\ln a.$$

Write $\varphi(u) = \lambda(u)\sqrt{u}$ and define $\psi(u) := \lambda\left(u^2\right)u$. Then

$$\overline{\lim_{u\to\infty}} \frac{\psi(u)}{u} = +\infty.$$

Therefore there exist a function $f \in C(G_m)$ for which

$$\psi\left(\left|S_{N_{A_k}}(f,0)\right|\right) > 5(A_k - 1)\ln a. \tag{23}$$

Set

$$F(x,y) := f(x) f(y).$$

It is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi\left(\left|S_{N_{A_k},N_{A_k}}(F;0,0)\right|\right) \\ = \; & \varphi\left(\left|S_{N_{A_k}}(f;0)\right|^2\right) \\ = \; & \lambda\left(\left|S_{N_{A_k}}(f;0)\right|^2\right)\left|S_{N_{A_k}}(f;0)\right| \\ = \; & \psi\left(\left|S_{N_{A_k}}(f;0)\right|\right). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, from (23) and (18) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{N_{A_k}^2}\sum_{i=1}^{N_{A_k}}\sum_{j=1}^{N_{A_k}} e^{\varphi(|S_{ij}(F;0,0)|)} \\ \geq \; & \frac{1}{N_{A_k}^2} e^{\varphi\left(\left|S_{N_{A_k},N_{A_k}}(F;0,0)\right|\right)} \\ = \; & \frac{1}{N_{A_k}^2} e^{\psi\left(\left|S_{N_{A_k}}(f;0)\right|\right)} \\ \geq \; & \frac{e^{5(A_k-1)\ln a}}{a^{4A_k}} \to \infty \text{ as } \; k \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2 is proved. □

References

[1] A. Alexits and D. Králik, Über den Annäherungagred der Approximation im starken Sinne von stetigen Funktionen, Magyar Tud. Acad. Mat. Kut. Int. Kozl, 8 (1963), 317-327.

[2] L. Fejér, Untersuchungen über Fouriersche Reihen, Math. Annalen, 58 (1904), 501-569.

[3] S. Fridli, On integrability and strong summability of Walsh-Kaczmarz series. Anal. Math. 40 (2014), no. 3, 197–214.

[4] S. Fridli and F. Schipp, Strong summability and Sidon type inequality, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 60 (1985), 277-289.

[5] S. Fridli and F. Schipp, Strong approximation via Sidon type inequalities, J. Approx. Theory 94 (1998), 263-284.

[6] G. Gát, U. Goginava, G. Karagulyan, Almost everywhere strong summability of Marcinkiewicz means of double Walsh-Fourier series. Anal. Math. 40 (2014), no. 4, 243–266.

[7] G. Gát, U. Goginava, G. Karagulyan, On everywhere divergence of the strong Φ-means of Walsh-Fourier series. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 421 (2015), no. 1, 206–214.

[8] V. A. Glukhov, Summation of multiple Fourier series in multiplicative systems. (Russian) Mat. Zametki 39 (1986), no. 5, 665–673.

[9] L. Gogoladze, On the exponential uniform strong summability of multiple trigonometric Fourier series, Georgian Math. J. 16 (2009), 517-532.

[10] U. Goginava, Convergence in measure of partial sums of double Vilenkin-Fourier series. Georgian Math. J. 16 (2009), no. 3, 507–516.
[11] U. Goginava, L. Gogoladze, Strong approximation by Marcinkiewicz means of two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series. Constr. Approx. 35 (2012), no. 1, 1–19.
[12] U. Goginava, L. Gogoladze, Strong approximation of two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series. Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 49 (2012), no. 2, 170–188.
[13] U. Goginava, L. Gogoladze, G. Karagulyan, BMO-estimation and almost everywhere exponential summability of quadratic partial sums of double Fourier series. Constr. Approx. 40 (2014), no. 1, 105–120.
[14] U. Goginava, Almost everywhere convergence of (C, α)-means of cubical partial sums of d-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series. J. Approx. Theory 141 (2006), no. 1, 8–28.
[15] U. Goginava, The weak type inequality for the Walsh system. Studia Math. 185 (2008), no. 1, 35–48.
[16] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, Sur la serie de Fourier d'une fonction a carre sommable, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 156(1913), 1307-1309.
[17] L. Leindler, Über die Approximation im starken Sinne, Acta Math. Acad. Hungar, 16 (1965), 255-262.
[18] L. Leindler, On the strong approximation of Fourier series, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 38 (1976), 317-324.
[19] L. Leindler, Strong approximation and classes of functions, Mitteilungen Math. Seminar Giessen, 132 (1978), 29-38.
[20] L. Leindler, Strong approximation by Fourier series, Akademiai Kiado, Budapest, 1985.
[21] V. A. Rodin, BMO-strong means of Fourier series, Funct. anal. Appl. 23 (1989), 73-74, (Russian)
[22] F. Schipp, Über die starke Summation von Walsh-Fourier Reihen, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 30 (1969), 77-87.
[23] F. Schipp, On strong approximation of Walsh-Fourier series, MTA III. Oszt. Kozl. 19(1969), 101-111 (Hungarian).
[24] F. Schipp and N. X. Ky, On strong summability of polynomial expansions, Anal. Math. 12 (1986), 115-128.
[25] F. Schipp, W.R. Wade, P. Simon and J. Pál, Walsh Series, an Introduction to Dyadic Harmonic Analysis. Adam Hilger, Bristol, New York, 1990.
[26] V. Totik, On the strong approximation of Fourier series, Acta Math. Sci. Hungar 35 (1980), 151-172.
[27] V. Totik, On the generalization of Fejér's summation theorem, Functions, Series, Operators; Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai (Budapest) Hungary, 35, North Holland, Amsterdam-Oxford-New-Yourk, 1980, 1195-1199.
[28] V. Totik, Notes on Fourier series: Strong approximation, J, Approx. Theory, 43 (1985), 105-111.
[29] F. Weisz, Strong summability of Ciesielski-Fourier series. Studia Math. 161 (2004), no. 3, 269–302.
[30] F. Weisz, Strong summability of more-dimensional Ciesielski-Fourier series. East J. Approx. 10 (2004), no. 3, 333–354.
[31] F. Weisz, Summability of multi-dimensional Fourier series and Hardy space, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2002.

U. Goginava, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences, Tbilisi State University, Chavchavadze str. 1, Tbilisi 0128, Georgia
E-mail address: zazagoginava@gmail.com